Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 11909 Filed 05/03/12 Entered 05/03/12 16:30:45 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 5

Kevin R. McCarthy, VSB 14273 McCarthy & White, PLLC 1751 Pinnacle Drive, Suite 1115 McLean, VA 22102 (703) 770-9261 krm@mccarthywhite.com

Attorneys for Entergy

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

In re:	Chapter 11
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., et al.,	Case Nos. 08-35653-KRH
Debtors.	(Jointly Administered)
RESPONSE TO LIQUIDATING TRI	UST'S THIRTIETH OMNIBUS OBJECTION
T	A CLAIMS

TO CLAIMS (DISALLOWANCE OF CERTAIN INVALID CLAIMS)

Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Entergy Gulf States LA LLC, Entergy Louisiana LLC, Entergy Mississippi, Inc. and Entergy Texas Inc. (together "Entergy") hereby respond to the Response to Liquidating Trust's Thirtieth Omnibus Objection, and states as follows:

Entergy's Proof of Claim

1. Entergy timely filed proofs of claim for each of its above operating entities in the above-captioned case. A true and correct copy of the receipt acknowledging the Proofs of Claim submitted is attached as Exhibit "B."

The Objection to Entergy's Proof of Claim

2. The stated objection to Entergy's Proofs of Claim is that "according to the trustee's books and records the claim is disputed in full based on pending deposits and/or post-

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 11909 Filed 05/03/12 Entered 05/03/12 16:30:45 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 5

petition overpayments". Entergy disputes the Objections conclusions. No other objection to Entergy's Proofs of Claim is made.

Argument

- 3. Entergy's Proofs of Claim were filed in accordance with the Bankruptcy Code and the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. Pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3001(f), Entergy's Proofs of Claim are prima facie valid. *In re Reilly*, 245 B.R. 768, 773 (2d Cir. B.A.P. 2000) ("[p]roperly filed and executed proof of claim constitutes prima facie evidence of the validity of the claim"); *accord In re Allegheny Int'l, Inc., et. al.*, 954 F.2d 167, 173 (3d Cir. 1992).
- 4. In this case, the original amounts of the Entergy's proofs of claim in the registry were correct as of the filing. Entergy separated the pre and post petition accounting by setting up new account numbers effective the 11/10/08 bankruptcy filing date. Entergy's claims were filed for the amounts due (less cash deposits on hand) without consideration of any pending surety bond payments. The surety bond claims were not paid until 11/30/09. Entergy's claims may be reduced by the amount of the surety bond claims paid, although SAFECO Insurance Company might well be entitled to an assignment of the claims for SAFECO's \$53,815.53 in payments. The net result is that only Entergy Mississippi was paid in full with the combination of cash and surety claim. The other Entergy jurisdictions still have combined \$52,486.06 remaining claims that should not be eliminated by this objection. A copy of the various accounts and the application of payments is attached as Exhibit A.
- 5. In order to overcome this validity, the party opposing the claim must present evidence equal in force to the prima facie case. *See In re Allegheny Int'l*, 954 F.2d at 173. The evidence presented must be of substance, as "the mere denial of the validity or amount is not sufficient." *In re Glenn*, 100 B.R. 763, 766 (Bankr. W.D. Pa. 1989). Moreover, "the burden of going forward with the proof is on the objecting [party], not the claimant. That burden is not satisfied by the mere filing of

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 11909 Filed 05/03/12 Entered 05/03/12 16:30:45 Page 3 of 5 Main Document

an objection." In re Lanza, 51 B.R. 125, 127 (Bankr. D. N.J. 1985) (citations omitted). An objection

alone is not sufficient to meet these standards since "the objection in and of itself is a mere statement of

invalidity and insufficient to contest the prima facie case. The objection must document with probative

evidence to satisfy the burden of going forward." In re King Resources Co., 20 B.R. 191, 197 (D. Colo.

1982).

6. The Objection only alleges generally, without substantiation, that nothing is owed

to Entergy.

7. The Objection did not attach any documents, such as copies of the Debtors' books

and records, to substantiate the objection to Entergy's claim. A debtor's books and records entries, when

presented alone, are insufficient evidence to overcome a properly filed proof of claim's prima facie

evidence of validity. See In re Michigan-Wisconsin Transp. Co., 161 B.R. 628, 637 (Bankr. W.D. Mich.

1993) (holding that in the absence of any evidence other than a books and records objection, the

objection to claim must be overruled). See also, In re Good Hope Industries, Inc., 16 B.R. 719, 721

(Bankr. D. Mass. 1982) (holding that basing an objection on the fact that Debtor's books and records did

not reflect the amounts claimed was impertinent when the proof of claim was supported with adequate

documentation); In re Systems Comm., Inc., 234 B.R. 143, 144 (Bankr. M.D. Fla. 1999) ("A debtor's

book and record entries, presented alone, cannot be binding on a creditor. Accordingly, the Objection

does not overcome the prima facie validity of Entergy's Proofs of Claim, and, consequently, Entergy's

properly filed claims should be allowed as reflected herein.

WHEREFORE, Entergy respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order (i) denying the

Objection, (ii) allowing Entergy's claims in the amounts indicated and (iii) for such other relief is

appropriate.

Dated: May 3, 2012

McCarthy & White, PLLC

3

By: /s/ Kevin R. McCarthy

Kevin R. McCarthy, VSB 14273 William Douglas White DC 224592

McCarthy & White, PLLC 1751 Pinnacle Drive, Suite 1115

McLean, VA 22102 (703) 770-9261

krm@mccarthywhite.com

Attorneys for Entergy

Kevin R. McCarthy, Va. Bar No. 14273 William Douglas White McCarthy & White, PLLC 1751 Pinnacle Drive, Suite 1115 McLean, VA 22102

Tel: (703) 770-9261 Fax: (703) 770-9266

Attorneys for Entergy

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 3rd day of May 2012, I caused a true and correct copy of Entergy, Inc.'s and Pepco Holding's Inc.'s Response to Liquidating Trust's Thirtieth Omnibus Objection to be served via U.S. mail, first class postage prepaid, on:

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq.
Andrew W. Caine, Esq.
(admitted *pro ace vice*)
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES, LLP
10100 Santa Monica Boulevard
Los Angeles California 90067-4100
Telephone: (310) 277-6910

Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Telecopy: (310) 201-0760

Lynn L. Tavenner, Esq. (VA Bar No. 30083) Paula S. Beran, Esq. (VA Bar No. 34679) TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC 20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219 Telephone: (804) 783-8300

Telephone: (804) 783-8300 Telecopy: (804) 783-0178

Office of United States Trustee 701 E. Broad St., Suite 4304

Richmond, VA 23219

/s/ Kevin R. McCarthy